Suzanne Bostrom (AK Bar No. 1011068) Brook Brisson (AK Bar No. 0905013) Brian Litmans (AK Bar No. 0111068) TRUSTEES FOR ALASKA 1026 W. Fourth Avenue, Suite 201 Anchorage, AK 99501 Phone: (907) 276, 4244

Phone: (907) 276-4244 Fax: (907) 276-7110 sbostrom@trustees.org bbrisson@trustees.org vbrown@trustees.org

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA

NORTHERN ALASKA ENVIRONMENTAL CENTER, et al., Case No. 3:20-cv-00114-SLG

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, et al.,

Defendants.

JOINT MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS

The parties in the above-captioned litigation respectfully submit this joint motion for a stay of proceedings until the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals decides the appeal in the related case, *Northern Alaska Environmental Center et al. v. U.S. Department of the*

Interior et al., Case No. 19-35008 ("NAEC I"). The present case concerns leasing in the National Petroluem Reserve—Alaska ("Reserve"), specifically challenging the Bureau of Land Management's (BLM) 2019 lease sale. NAEC I is a challenge to BLM's 2017 lease sale in the Reserve. Both cases involve similar legal questions about BLM's compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act and Naval Petroleum Reserves Production Act, and any decision in NAEC I could potentially have implications for the present case. There is also a related challenge to the 2018 lease sale before this court, Northern Alaska Environmental Center et al. v. U.S. Department of the Interior et al., Case No. 3:19-cv-00055-SLG ("NAEC II"). The court stayed NAEC II pending a decision by the Ninth Circuit in NAEC I. Order Granting J. Mtn. to Stay Proceedings, NAEC II, Case No. 3:19-cv-00055-SLG (Alaska D. Ct. Apr. 2, 2019) (ECF Doc. 12).

The parties ask that the Court stay all deadlines in the present case, including those for filing the answer, lodging the administrative record, and summary judgment briefing as set out in Local Civil Rule 16.3.¹ This court has broad authority to stay proceedings.

Landis v. N. Am. Co., 299 U.S. 248, 254 (1936) ("[T]he power to stay proceedings is incidental to the power inherent in every court to control the disposition of the causes on its docket with economy of time and effort for itself, for counsel, and for litigants.");

Leyva v. Certified Grocers of Cal., 593 F.2d 857, 863–64 (9th Cir. 1979); CMAX, Inc. v.

_

¹ This motion to stay is not intended to interfere with the court's adjudication of a motion to intervene, should there be one.

N. Alaska Envtl. Ctr., et al. v. U.S. Dep't of the Interior, et al. Case No. 3:20-cv-00114-SLG

Hall, 300 F.2d 265, 268 (9th Cir. 1962). Three factors guide this Court's determination of whether a stay should be granted: (1) "the possible damage which may result from the granting of a stay," (2) "the hardship or inequity which a party may suffer in being required to go forward," and (3) "the orderly course of justice measured in terms of the simplifying or complicating issues, proof, and questions of law which could be expected to result from a stay." CMAX, 300 F.2d at 268. All three factors weigh in favor of granting the requested stay.

Any damage that would result from granting this limited stay would be minimal. A stay would avoid immediate expenditure by the parties of substantial resources needed to complete the filing and briefing schedule. The parties would be able to determine whether briefing is still necessary after the Ninth Circuit makes its decision.

In contrast, the parties may suffer hardship or inequity if they have to move forward with briefing this case while a resolution of the Ninth Circuit appeal is pending. The parties, which include federal governmental agencies and nonprofit organizations, would have to devote substantial resources to briefing this case, which is substantially similar to the case currently pending before the Ninth Circuit in *NAEC I*. It would make little sense to divert scarce resources to litigating this case when the Ninth Circuit's decision is likely to have a direct bearing on what happens in the present case. As discussed above, the issues in the present case and *NAEC I* are similar, with the main difference between the cases being that the current case involves the 2019 lease sale in

N. Alaska Envtl. Ctr., et al. v. U.S. Dep't of the Interior, et al. Case No. 3:20-cv-00114-SLG

the Reserve and *NAEC I* involves the 2017 lease sale in the Reserve. Once the Ninth Circuit decides *NAEC I*, the parties will be able to evaluate whether continued litigation is necessary. Staying this case pending resolution of *NAEC I* will, therefore, further the orderly course of justice.

In order to conserve the resources of the parties and the Court, the parties ask that the Court stay proceedings in this case until the Ninth Circuit makes its decision in *NAEC I*. Should the Court grant the parties' motion, the parties would provide a joint status report thirty days after the Ninth Circuit issues its decision in *NAEC I* proposing either an extension of the stay; a new schedule for filing of the answer, lodging the administration record, and any briefing; or some other resolution of the present case. If the parties are unable to agree on language in the joint status report, they will submit separate statements in the same report.

Respectfully submitted May 28th, 2020,

s/Suzanne Bostrom

Suzanne Bostrom (AK Bar No. 1011068)

Brook Brisson (AK Bar No. 0905013)

Valerie Brown (AK Bar No. 9712099)

TRUSTEES FOR ALASKA

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

JEAN E. WILLIAMS

Deputy Assistant Attorney General

Environment and Natural Resources Division

U.S. Department of Justice

N. Alaska Envtl. Ctr., et al. v. U.S. Dep't of the Interior, et al. Case No. 3:20-cv-00114-SLG

Page 4 of 6

s/Michelle-Ann C. Williams (by Suzanne Bostrom)

Michelle-Ann C. Williams (MD Bar)
U.S. Department of Justice
Environmental and Natural Resources Division
Natural Resources Section
P.O. Bay 7611
Washington, D.C. 20044
(202) 305-0420 (phone)
(202) 305-0506 (fax)

Attorneys for Federal Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on May 28, 2020, I caused a copy of the JOINT MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS to be electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court for the U.S. District Court of Alaska using the CM/ECF system.

s/Suzanne Bostrom
Suzanne Bostrom

N. Alaska Envtl. Ctr., et al. v. U.S. Dep't of the Interior, et al. Case No. 3:20-cv-00114-SLG